01/14/04 17:36 FAX

o Letter

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 2500 DALLAS, TEXAS 75201-2784 WWW.FULBRIGHT.COM

CENTRAL FAX CEN JAN 1 4 2004

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:

January 14, 2004

MATTER NUMBER:

10024582/P323US

RECIPIENT(S):	FAX No.:	PHONE No.:
Vernal Brown	703-872-9306	703-305-3864
USPTO		

FROM:

Bill Tiffany

USER ID:

WT10420

FLOOR:

26

PHONE:

(214) 855-8204

FAX:

(214) 855-8200

RE:

Docket No. 10000495501

Number of Pages with Cover Page:

10

Message:

I am preparing a response to an Office Action for Hewlett-Packard, a copy of which is attached. Portions of the Office Action seem to be scrambled or missing. Would you have a clean copy of the Office Action faxed to me?

William B. Tiffany, Reg. No. 41,347

Fax number 214-855-8200 Phone

214-855-8204

E-Mail

wtiffany@fulbright.com

CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE. DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE PACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

> IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL JOY PERIGO AT 214-855-8171 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

PAGE 1/10 * RCVD AT 1/14/2004 6:35:57 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):02-24



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Passes and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Box 120 Trade Commerce The Intelligence of the In

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09:912.211	07/24/2001	Michael J. Chaloner	10004955-1	6430
75	90 11/19/2003		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY		Υ .	BROWN: N	ERNAL U
	perty Administration	<u>-</u>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 27240 Fort Callin, CC		RECEIVE	2635	4
•			DATE MAILED: 11 19 200	3

NOV 25 2003

HP LEGAL ..

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

nocketen	
DEC 0 4 2003	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSK BY TAB (-XX	

US ACTION
DUE DATE
Paper Dated
OA Final
Msg. PtDwgs
Appeallastic Fee
Other

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/912,211	CHALONER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
2	Vernal U Brown	2635
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		e correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office fater than three months after the mailine earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and wall expire SIX (6) MONTHS to accome ABAND	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNEO (55 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24		•
	nis action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	ewn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.	•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.	•
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er,	•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2001 is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in n		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	its have been received in Appl	ication No
Coples of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has beer	received.
Attachment(s)	,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Pateni Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademork Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4

JAN 1 4 2004

Application/Control Number: 09/912,211

Art Unit: 2635

OFFICIAL.

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

The application of Michael J. Chaloner for System and Method For Improved Object Identification filed July 24, 2001 has been examined. Claims 1-22 are pending.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The current abstract using phrase "The present invention" which is implied and should be avoided.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 22, it is not understood what is generated by the limitation "means for generating".

Application/Control Number: 09/912,211

Art Unit: 2635

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-7, 10-11, 13-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being by anticipated by Lastinger U.S Patent 6104311.

Regarding claim 1, Lastinger teaches a method for identifying objects within a set of objects (col. 8 line 67-col. 9 line 4), the method comprising the steps of transmitting a signal toward a region of interest and receiving energy reflected from said region of interest (col. 8 lines 35-40). The baseline field strength by Lastinger is inherently established in order to detect the increased field strength (col. 8 line 49). Lastinger further teaches identifying at least one object within the region of interest based upon determining at least one frequency at which the field strength differs (col. 8 lines 50-51).

Regarding claim 2, Lastinger teaches the identifying step comprises the steps of establishing a plurality of pre-selected frequencies within a frequency range of the transmitted signal and associating at least one of the pre-selected frequencies with each object of said set of objects (col. 8 lines 35-41).

Regarding claim 3, Lastinger teaches the transmitted signal is an electromagnetic signal (col. 7 line 31).

Regarding claim 10, Lastinger teaches transmitting a narrowband signal spanning a single pre-selected residual to the pre-selected resonant frequency differs substantially from said baseline field strength for the reflected energy (col. 8 lines 50-51).

Regarding claim 11, Lastinger teaches an object presence detection system, the system comprising:

object detection equipment (2) disposed conveniently at least one region of interest (figure 2);

a set of objects for detection by said object detection equipment (col. 9 lines 1-4); and at least one antenna disposed on each object of said set of objects for uniquely identifying each said object of said set of objects to said object detection equipment (col. 13 lines 5-11).

Regarding claim 13, Lastinger teaches each antenna having different resonant frequency and the frequency is adjusted by changing the antenna length (col. 12 lines 19-22).

Regarding claim 14, Lastinger teaches a data table (col. 11 lines 50-55) for associating each object with a pre-selected resonant frequency (col. 11 lines 58-61).

Regarding claim 15, Lastinger teaches the detection equipment (reader) transmit an interrogating signal (col. 8 lines 42-41), therefore the detection equipment inherently includes a

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Lastinger teaches affixing antenna to each object (col. 13 lines 5-6) and the antenna resonates at a pre-selected frequency (col. 13 lines 9-11).

Regarding claims 8 and 12, Lastinger teaches causing the antenna to resonate at a preselected frequency (col. 8 lines 23-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/912,211

Art Uhit: 2635

Page 5

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lastinger U.S Patent 6104311 in view of Lander U.S Patent 4476469.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Lastinger teaches the transmission of radio frequency signal (col. col. 7 line 31) but is silent on teaching the transmitted signal is a sonic or ultrasonic wave. Lander in an art related object locating invention teaches the use of sonic and ultrasonic signal as a substitute for radio frequency signal (col. 2 lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit a sonic or ultrasonic signal in Lastinger as evidenced by Lander because Lastinger suggests the transmission of radio frequency signal and Lander teaches the use of sonic and ultrasonic signal as a substitute for radio frequency signal.

Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lastinger U.S Patent 6104311 in view of Carney et al. U.S Patent 5446447.

Regarding claim 9, Lastinger teaches transmitting the signal comprising the resonant frequency of the tag and determining the frequency which differ from the baseline field strength (col. 8 lines 47-50) but is silent on teaching transmitting a broadband signal spanning a plurality of resonant frequencies. Carney et al. in an art related invention in the same field of endeavor of radio frequency tag teaches transmitting a broadband signal spanning a plurality of resonant frequencies (col. 9 lines 12-14).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/912,211

Art Unit: 2635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transmit a broadband signal spanning a plurality of resonant frequencies in Lastinger as evidenced by Carney et al. because Lastinger suggests the reader transmitting the signal comprising the resonant frequency of the tag and Carney et al. suggests transmitting the resonant frequencies of the tag by transmitting a broadband signal spanning a plurality of resonant frequencies.

Regarding claim 19, Lastinger teaches the object detection equipment identifying the resonant frequencies present in a region (col. 8 lines 49-51) but is not explicit in teaching the object detection equipment further comprising analyzing circuitry, coupled to at least one receiver, for identifying resonant frequencies present in said region of interest. Carney et al. in an art related invention in the same field of endeavor of radio frequency tag teaches analyzing circuitry, coupled to at least one receiver (figure 14), for identifying resonant frequencies present in said region of interest (col. 8 lines 61-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art for object detection equipment further comprising analyzing circuitry, coupled to at least one receiver, for identifying resonant frequencies present in the region of interest in Lastinger as evidenced by Carney et al. because Lastinger suggests the object detection equipment identifying the resonant frequencies present in a region and Carney et al. teaches analyzing circuitry, coupled to at least one receiver for identifying resonant frequencies present in said region of interest.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,211

Art Unit: 2635

Page 7

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vernal U Brown whose telephone number is 703-305-3864. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 703-305-4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

Vernal Brown November 4, 2003

EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Tradement Office PTO-892 (Rev. 01-2001)

				· · · -	Application/Control No. 09/912,211	Applicant(s)/F Reexaminatio - CHALONER	เก
Notice of References Cited				Examiner	Art Unit		
					Vernal U Brown	2635	Page 1 of 1
			-	U.S. PA	ATENT DOCUMENTS		
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		. Name		Classification
	A	U\$-5448447	08-1995	Carney	et al.		340/572
	В	US-6104311	08-2000	Lastinger			340/825.54
	С	US-4476469	10-1984	Lander			340/825.49
	D	US-			·		
	. E	U\$.					
•.	F	US-			• •		
	G	us-					
	н	us-					
	1	us-					-
	J	us-					
	к	US-					
	L	UŜ-					
	м	U\$-					
				FOREIGN	PATENT DOCUMENTS		
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	(Country	Name	Classification
	N						
	0						
	Р					<u> </u>	·····
	a						
	R		<u> </u>				
	s				Ì		
	3		<u> </u>	 			
	T						
	т				ATENT DOCUMENTS		
*	т		de as applicabl		ATENT DOCUMENTS Fille Date, Publisher, Edition or Vo		
*	т		de as applicabl				
*	T		de as applicabl				
*	7		de as applicabl				
*	T		de as applicabl				
*	U		de as applicabl				

Natice of References Cited

Part of Paper No. 4